



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 10/059,410 | 1/31/02 | MITSURU ET AL. | 217918450 |

| EXAMINER | |
|----------------|--------------|
| Robert Sellers | |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 1712 | 11 |

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Koschmieder (3) _____
(2) Robert Sellers (4) _____

Date of Interview July 24, 2003

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: Adachi et al., Kato et al., Fujii et al., Japanese Patent Nos. 10-226719, 10-265539, 10-273587, 11-171956, 10-298251, Zeng et al. article, and Japanese Patent No. 62-112504, and European Patent No. 735,089 (IDS reference).

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

The suggestion to amend independent claim 1 to include the plasticizing compound of claim 8 cannot be considered in the instant application since it was directed to a non-elected invention (Office action mailed 6/4/03, page 2, Group III). Such claims can be examined in a divisional application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

[Signature]
Examiner's Signature